

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Sizewell c deadline 10 water " strategy" ?
Date: 06 October 2021 17:34:02

Dear Sir, our names are Jean Hatt & Alan Hatt (personal number 20025456). I wish to take task with your handling of the 3rd September EDF publication on "water strategy" or the lack of one. It is quite plain through the recent ISH 15 5/10/2021 that the strategy is to try and commence the build using a temporary desalination pretending to make strenuous efforts to come to arrangements with various local water companies knowing that the cheapest and therefore their preferred option is a permanent desalination plant and knowing that a Too Big To Cancel means anything goes. HS2 comes to mind.

Both the temporary and permanent plants should be included in another DCO and enough time granted to further examine the consequences of such huge polluting chemical plants running for a possible 60 years or more throwing 2000 mega litres a day of highly chlorinated salt brine into the north sea. A non material change it most certainly is not, allowing an illegally short time for consultation and no time for SSC, TASC, B1122 Committee, RSPB, etc to engage and hire experts who would show how deadly polluting such plants are.

Further we found the EDF road transport statistics of lorry movements with added water bowsers etc , where they were coming from, magnitude both existing before and after the bowsers added bordered on the farcical as did the examining PINS QC.

Unless PINS does a proper examining job, you and others could be instrumental in costing this country 30 billion pounds or more with little return other than a white elephant that cannot run more than a few years because the surrounding sea water is highly salt polluted. yours Alan & Jean Hatt.

[REDACTED]